



# CEN/BT - Voting Results

## Ballot Information

<b>Ballot reference</b>	2019/c145
<b>Ballot type</b>	CENBT
<b>Ballot title</b>	Creation of a new CEN/TC 'Sustainable and Smart Cities and Communities'
<b>BT number</b>	11692
<b>Opening date</b>	2019-07-24
<b>Initial closing date</b>	2019-10-22
<b>Closing date</b>	2019-10-22
<b>Note</b>	

<b>Ballot results</b>	
<b>Total votes cast:</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>Agrees</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Disagrees with comments</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Deferring Decision</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Abstains</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Abstaining without voting:</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Total of abstentions:</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Ballot result:</b>	<b>Approved</b>
<b>Result explanation:</b>	<p>CCMC Comments</p> <p>BT C145/2019 is adopted in accordance with the results of the weighted voting (83% acceptance) and more than 5 expressions of commitment to participate in the work. CEN/TC 465 is created.</p> <p>All comments received will be communicated to the Sector Forum on Smart and Sustainable Cities and Communities.</p>

<b>Member</b>		<b>Date cast</b>	<b>Agrees</b>	<b>Disagrees with comments</b>	<b>Deferring Decision</b>	<b>Abstains</b>
<b>Austria</b>	ASI	2019-10-16		X		
<b>Belgium</b>	NBN	2019-07-26				X
<b>Bulgaria</b>	BDS	2019-10-17	X			
<b>Croatia</b>	HZN	2019-10-21	X			
<b>Cyprus</b>	CYS	2019-09-18	X			
<b>Czech Republic</b>	UNMZ	2019-10-21	X			
<b>Denmark</b>	DS	2019-10-22	X			
<b>Estonia</b>	EVS	2019-10-21	X			
<b>Finland</b>	SFS	2019-10-11	X			
<b>France</b>	AFNOR	2019-10-21	X			

Member		Date cast	Agrees	Disagrees with comments	Deferring Decision	Abstains
Germany	DIN	2019-10-10	X			
Greece	NQIS ELOT	2019-09-13	X			
Hungary	MSZT	2019-10-18	X			
Iceland	IST	2019-10-22	X			
Ireland	NSAI	2019-09-06	X			
Italy	UNI	2019-10-17	X			
Latvia	LVS	2019-10-15				X
Lithuania	LST	2019-10-18	X			
Luxembourg	ILNAS	2019-07-25	X			
Malta	MCCAA	2019-10-03				X
Netherlands	NEN	2019-10-21	X			
North Macedonia	ISRSM	2019-10-07				X
Norway	SN	2019-10-16				X
Poland	PKN	2019-10-22	X			
Portugal	IPQ	2019-10-21				X
Romania	ASRO	2019-10-21	X			
Serbia	ISS	2019-10-22	X			
Slovakia	UNMS SR	2019-10-11	X			
Slovenia	SIST	2019-09-18	X			
Spain	UNE	2019-10-22				X
Sweden	SIS	2019-10-14	X			
Switzerland	SNV	2019-10-09				X
Turkey	TSE	No vote received				X

Member		Date cast	Agrees	Disagrees with comments	Deferring Decision	Abstains
United Kingdom	BSI	2019-10-21		X		

Comments from voters		
<b>Austria (ASI) Cast by Nikolasch, Michaela Ms</b>		<b>Date</b>
Comment file	2019_c145_ASI.doc	2019-10-16
<b>Cyprus (CYS) Cast by Demosthenous, Elena Ms</b>		<b>Date</b>
Short comment	There is no committment for active participation from stakeholders at this point.	2019-09-18
<b>Czech Republic (UNMZ) Cast by Slana, Zdena Ms</b>		<b>Date</b>
Short comment	The Czech Republic is not committed to participate in the work.	2019-10-21
<b>Denmark (DS) Cast by Hagelund, Jørgen Mr</b>		<b>Date</b>
Short comment	DS is committed to participate	2019-10-22
<b>Finland (SFS) Cast by Karppinen, Antti</b>		<b>Date</b>
Short comment	SFS cannot confirm for the time being the commitment to participation	2019-10-11
<b>France (AFNOR) Cast by POUPET, Pascal M.</b>		<b>Date</b>
Short comment	AFNOR is prepared to participate actively in the new TC and to hold its secretariat.	2019-10-21

<b>Comments from voters</b>		
<b>Germany (DIN) Cast by Schlickeiser, Daniela Mrs</b>		<b>Date</b>
Short comment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- According to ISO 37100 “smart” is meant to support sustainable development and achieve sustainability. Thus, “smart” should be deleted from the title. This would be in line with the title of ISO/TC 268.</li> <li>- Policy documents relevant to sustainable urban and regional development, including in particular the future “Green Deal for Europe”, Amsterdam Pact/EU Urban Agenda, Leipzig Charter, the Reference Framework for Sustainable Development (RFSC) and others, should be considered in the work.</li> <li>- In the EU R&amp;I programme Horizon Europe “smart” has been replaced by “intelligent” to go beyond the mere technological aspects of digitization programmes and to reflect the variety of aspects and features that support urban and regional development.</li> <li>- As cities, local governments and their associations are key stakeholders their representatives should be involved in the work.</li> <li>- The interface between SF SSCC and CEN/TC should be clarified.</li> <li>- Adoption of ISO should be carefully considered</li> </ul> DIN is committed to participate in the work.	2019-10-10
<b>Malta (MCCAA) Cast by Farrugia, Francis P. Mr</b>		<b>Date</b>
Short comment	Expertise to contribute to this subject are not available in Malta.	2019-10-03
<b>Netherlands (NEN) Cast by van den Berg, Anita Mrs</b>		<b>Date</b>
Short comment	We would like to explore with other European countries where European standards can benefit smart city developments. We would like to explore, among other things, standardization for: Urban Data Platforms, and aspects of the outdoor digital infrastructure such as integrated street furniture. We would like to focus on standardization with a strong impact on the European context .	2019-10-21
<b>North Macedonia (ISRSM) Cast by Pletvarski, Goran Mr</b>		<b>Date</b>
Short comment	Due to the lack of national position ISRSM vote is to abstain, hence ISRSM is not committed to participate in the work of the committee.	2019-10-07
<b>Norway (SN) Cast by Høseggen, Tom A. Mr</b>		<b>Date</b>
Short comment	At the present, no national interest for participation	2019-10-16
<b>Romania (ASRO) Cast by CORCIOVA, Valerica Ms.</b>		<b>Date</b>
Short comment	The Romanian stakeholders have committed to actively participate in the work.	2019-10-21
<b>Serbia (ISS) Cast by Knezevic, Radisa Mr</b>		<b>Date</b>
Short comment	At this stage, there is no commitment from stakeholders for active participation	2019-10-22

Comments from voters		
<b>Slovakia (UNMS SR) Cast by Lengyelova, Adriana Mrs</b>		<b>Date</b>
Short comment	To add references to World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) SMP2.0 Sustainable Mobility Indicators <a href="https://www.wbcsd.org/Programs/Cities-and-Mobility/Transforming-Mobility/SiMPLify/Resources/SMP2.0-Sustainable-Mobility-Indicators-2nd-Edition">https://www.wbcsd.org/Programs/Cities-and-Mobility/Transforming-Mobility/SiMPLify/Resources/SMP2.0-Sustainable-Mobility-Indicators-2nd-Edition</a> and to SUMI, a service contract for the European Commission's DG MOVE providing technical support related to sustainable urban mobility indicators (MOVE/B4/2017-358): <a href="https://www.rupprecht-consult.eu/project/sumi.html">https://www.rupprecht-consult.eu/project/sumi.html</a> .	2019-10-11
<b>Spain (UNE) Cast by Fernandez, Conchi Ms</b>		<b>Date</b>
Short comment	UNE has the following comments: - it is necessary to define in more detail the proposed work programme - the Sector Forum SSCC should analyse the appropriate scope and come to an agreement previously. In addition, in case of approval, the scope should not exclude the standardization of technologies, as recently stated by the proposer.	2019-10-22
<b>Sweden (SIS) Cast by Danoglou, Despina Mrs</b>		<b>Date</b>
Short comment	<p><b>Title:</b> We propose to remove the word <i>smart</i> from the proposed CEN/TC title.</p> <p><b>Scope:</b> SIS suggests</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- to add a reference to the UN Sustainable Development Goals</li> <li>- to add a reference to sustainable development main pillars: economic, environmental and social.</li> <li>- to clarify the point (3) "the use of smart solutions".</li> <li>- to add a reference to underline the importance of aligning the proposed CEN/TC work with the European Commission visions and actions in that area.</li> <li>- to add a reference taking into consideration the importance of partnership with relevant players such as CEMR, CLRAE and European research projects.</li> <li>- to highlight both the urban and rural perspective in the scope.</li> </ul> <p><b>Purpose and justification:</b> We propose:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- to ask AFNOR to highlight the importance of European cities and communities standardisation needs.</li> <li>- to remove the following text: "to develop a European vision on Sustainable and Smart Cities and Communities".</li> </ul> <p><b>Proposed work's impact on existing CEN/TCs:</b> Sweden supports the establishment of a liaison between CEN/TC 350 and the proposed CEN/TC.</p> <p><b>A listing of relevant existing documents at the international, regional or national levels</b> - Sweden suggests that the following documents are relevant: ISO 22392 Security and resilience – Community resilience – Guidelines for conducting peer reviews [DIS]  ISO 22396 Security and resilience – Community resilience – Guidelines for information sharing between organisations [DIS] ISO 22370 Security and resilience – Community resilience – Framework and principles for urban resilience [Under publication]  ISO 22371 Security and resilience – Urban resilience – Guidelines for strategy and implementation</p> <p><b>Stakeholders categories:</b> Sweden proposes to further develop the following points: users, NGO and Academic.</p> <p><b>Liaisons:</b> We propose to establish a liaison to ISO/TC 292 Security and resilience.</p> <p><b>SIS participation:</b> SIS is committed to participate in the work of the TC.</p>	2019-10-14

Comments from voters		
United Kingdom (BSI) Cast by International, Policy Mr		Date
Short comment	<p>The UK does not support the creation of a new TC on 'Sustainable and Smart Cities and Communities', our comments to support this position are below. From stakeholder feedback, there was a number of concerns identified and uncertainty that this proposal will be beneficial to the end users, namely the cities.</p> <p>Whilst the UK agrees that since the original proposal to set up a new TC in 2012 the smart cities agenda has progressed; the standards landscape has become increasingly complex. The SSCC-Sector Forum has evidenced that there are literally hundreds of standards available to cities.</p> <p>The international SDOs have each focused on specific areas but this agenda has not progressed without issues. An SDO Taskforce is due to be convened imminently to resolve the issues around overlapping and conflicting international standards.</p> <p>The UK is concerned a new European TC would only further complicate this picture unless specific controls were also implemented. Examples of some of the controls which are needed are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The work programme must remain consistent with existing international standardisation work, particularly ISO TC 268 as many European cities use these standards;</li> <li>• Any TC must utilise the UN SDGs which frames not just the international smart cities agenda but the European Commission's ambition to frame the future of Europe's cities;</li> <li>• There are concerns that the proposal is heavily focused on smart and not sustainability which is a major focus for all European member states;</li> <li>• In the proposal is not clear that all communities would be included in the scope of the work of the new TC. 85% of Europe's cities are classified as small giants, they are not the large urban centres and need to be at the centre of any European standardization programme.</li> <li>• It would be important to ensure any Terms of Reference for a CEN/TC includes the orchestration by the SSCC-Sector Forum for the standards developed by the TC.</li> </ul> <p>The UK recognises that there is a maturity of the smart city agenda in Europe since the original standardization programme was initiated. The European Commission has also recognised the need to accelerate a city programme which aims to develop intelligent rather than smart cities. Intelligent cities will be focused on delivering better outcomes for citizens and the delivery of UNSDGs, rather than the deployment of technology the consequence of the current European smart city agenda.</p> <p>Equally, however, much good work has already been done under the "smart" label on how cities can drive change at scale. We recommend that the European standards agenda continues to utilise the existing international standards as a framework for European standards development.</p> <p>A maturity of the European city agenda is not initially expected to align with the international SDO agenda. However, it is entirely possible any European standards could inform a future international standards agenda.</p>	2019-10-21

Comments from Commenters		
ANEC Cast by Giovannini, Chiara Mrs		Date
Short comment	ANEC submits the attached positive comments.	2019-10-17
Comment file	2019_c145_ANEC.doc	2019-10-17

<b>Comments from Commenters</b>		
<b>CEN Cast by Colaers, Joelle Ms.</b>		<b>Date</b>
Short comment	The results of the weighted voting ( 83% acceptance) are attached.	2019-10-23
Comment file	2019_c145_CEN.xlsx	2019-10-23